The impact of Caesarean delivery mode towards brain and neurodevelopment among children
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Abstract

In regards to the rising rate of Caesarean birth globally, there is concern about the risk of Caesarean birth in children. However, the effect of Caesarean delivery towards the child brain and neurodevelopment is not well understood. We reviewed articles from online database with topics related to the relation between Caesarean delivery mode and brain development or neurodevelopment or behavior and emotional development. Several studies discussed how birth mode could affect brain structural connectivity through neural and hormone changes. Some studies also assessed possible effect on child’s psychological development. The result showed differences in child’s brain development between caesarean and natural delivery in the early life, but not in the long run. Additionally, there was no significant association between birth mode and emotional problem.
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Introduction

In general, birth delivery can be divided into three categories: natural unassisted delivery, assisted delivery, and caesarean delivery. It is commonly known that Caesarean section (C-section) holds important role in saving lives of mothers and infants under certain medical conditions, e.g. labor dystocia, fetal malpresentation, fetal distress, etc. Therefore, the use of C-section for birth delivery is inevitable. However, in present times, mothers could request for C-section, even without any medical indications. And apparently, it becomes increasingly popular. It was estimated that 21.1% of the world’s births were occurred through C-section in 2015, increased almost double from 12.1% in 2000.¹ The rate of C-section on maternal request is also growing. Analysis based on the WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health (2004-2008) identified the rate of C-section was 25.7%, and about 1% of them were without medical indications.² In Norway population-based study, 5% of the deliveries were occurred through elective C-section.³ Another study using Swedish Registry also identified a three-fold increase of C-section on maternal request in 10-year period.⁴ Similar situation was found in Indonesia, where about 3.7% of Caesarean deliveries in tertiary hospitals were by maternal request.⁵

Even though C-section delivery is sometimes necessary and lifesaving, it can also bring negative consequences for mothers and infants. The short-
term health risk includes post-partum infection, hemorrhage, venous thromboembolism, or even maternal death. There are also long-term risks for the mothers, child, and subsequent pregnancies. The World Health Organization (WHO) gave statement on C-section delivery rate and acknowledging that the effect of C-section on pediatric outcomes are still unclear. Castillo-Ruiz reported an unexpected effect on neonatal brain development and behavior in mice delivered through C-section. The rates of cell death in the brain were either unchanged or increased at C-section delivery, contrary to vaginal delivered mice, which exhibited an abrupt, transient decrease in cell death. Some human studies also suggested the possible effect of Caesarean birth method on child’s cognitive, emotions, and behaviour.

Nevertheless, there is no doubt that we also found healthy children born through C-section delivery. It is also important to note that various factors influenced children’s growth and development, not merely the birth mode. Little is known about the effect of birth mode on child’s neurodevelopment and cognitive behavior. This review aims to provide an overview on the available evidences, exploring how C-section mode could affect brain development in short- and long-term.

Methods

We focus on the effects of C-section delivery mode on children’s brain development, neurodevelopment, cognitive, and behavior. Studies on the effects of C-section on children’s health, such as obesity, allergy, asthma, were excluded. We only included articles that were published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal. Articles were identified from electronic database, i.e. PubMed, Cochrane, and Google Scholar. The searching strategies included terms related to Caesarean, brain development, neurodevelopment, behavior and emotional development. Articles were either in English or Indonesian language, and not limited to publication year.

Results and Discussion

C-section delivery mode and brain development

There are clearly differences between vaginal delivery and C-section delivery, but the question is how do these differences affect health outcomes in infants? Natural born infant experienced hormonal surge through labor process. Mother’s body is naturally prepared for delivering infant, with increasing level of estrogen, oxytocin, prostaglandin activity, beta-endorphins, and prolactin receptors. The infant’s body also experiences changes to be fully matured. Buckley noted some possible impacts of planned birth on the infant’s brain, e.g. reduced brain maturity and brain-hormone. It is well understood that premature infant has higher risk for brain injury because of the lack of oxygen. About 5 to 10% of infants born before 32-weeks had significant brain impairment, e.g. cerebral palsy, and more than half developed cognitive or behavior disorders. Nevertheless, there still lack of evidence on how elective C-section delivery which commonly occurs between the gestation age of 37 to 39 weeks could have impact on the infant’s brain. Clinical guidelines recommended C-section delivery on maternal request to be performed after 39 weeks due to risk of respiratory complications, however, no sufficient evidences related to risk of brain immaturity before the gestation age of 39 weeks.

Neonates delivered through C-section have lower concentration of stress hormone that may affect the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which latter could have implications on neonatal cardiovascular and autonomic nervous system development. Castillo-Ruiz identified a surge in vasopressin among mice with vaginal delivery, and a relatively small increase among those delivered through C-section. The concentration of circulating vasopressin is almost 100-fold higher in infants born by vaginal delivery compared to C-section. It is assumed that these high concentration of vasopressin acts as natural analgesia in infants born by vaginal delivery. The circulating levels of vasopressin is generally link with concentrations in the central nervous system, and it is reported to decrease neuronal apoptosis in cell culture.

Aside from hormonal effects, Deoni et al performed a cohort study towards two-week-old neonates, three-months to 5-year old, and 8-year old children to observe their brain structural and functional connectivity. The result indicated
significant differences in the first three years, then it was gradually decreased and became unobservable beyond the age of three. The two-week-old infants born by vaginal delivery had higher 4-10% of mean fractional anisotropy, compared to those by C-section. Infant at three-month-old born by vaginal delivery also had better myelination in the frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital white matter, compared to those delivered by C-section. Hence, the study found no significant differences brain structural connectivity between vaginal and C-section delivery in the older children (7.5 to 8.5 years old). There are possibility that breastfeeding and other environmental exposures, e.g. nutrition, sleep duration, screen-time, contribute to children neurodevelopment.

**C-section and its relation to behavior and emotional development**

There are theoretical assumptions that Caesarean delivery disrupts the normal change in infant’s life and potentially cause traumatic experience that latter affect the child’s psychology. The child might be prone to the issue of separation and abandonment. Nonetheless, these were all assumptions and not based on clinical evidence. Kelmanson et al. did a case control study comparing 5-year old children born through C-section on maternal request with those vaginal delivery. He found significant difference in terms of anxiety/depression, sleep problems, and internalizing problems. Another study by Huang et al. confirmed these potential risk of emotional and behavioral problems among children born via C-section delivery. He compared children born through C-section on maternal request, C-section with medical indications, emergency C-section, and vaginal delivery. Those delivered prior to 39-weeks on maternal request had the highest risk for emotional problems (RR: 3.48; 95% CI: 1.68–7.22) and total difficult problems (RR: 2.17; 95% CI: 1.18–4.02). Nevertheless, study by Rutayisire et al. showed different result. He conducted a cross-sectional study among 8,900 preschoolers in China and found no significant association between emotional problems and mode of delivery (RR: 1.06; 95% CI: 0.90–1.24).

In regards to its impact on cognitive and behavioral outcome, C-section delivery could have been linked with the alteration of infant’s gut microbiota which could affect the memory, mood, and cognition. It has been hypothesized that the central and enteric nervous system has bidirectional communications, known as gut-brain-axis. Different gut microbiota has been found in children born through C-section compared with vaginal delivery, not only in the early life, but also beyond infancy. Study by Salminen et al. found significantly higher *Clostridium* species in normally delivered children than Caesarean born. The study observed about 60 children aged 7 year old. Animal studies showed that gut microbial colonization affects brain development, particularly in stress reactivity, anxiety-like behavior, and brain memory dysfunction. Possible mechanisms include changes in neurotransmitter and brain-derived neurotropic factors, modulation of enteric sensory afferents, and mucosal immune activation.

Even though the direct causation between disturbed gut microbiota and child’s behavioral development has not been established, there are assumptions that it influences children’s cognitive disorder, such as autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Study by Rutayisire et al. found no differences in emotional problems, but significant result in behavioral problems, i.e. higher risk for total strength and difficulties questionnaire (OR: 1.27; 95% CI: 1.10–1.46) and pro-social behavior (OR: 1.27; 95% CI: 1.12–1.45). Another study by Mackay et al. also found the risk of special education needs in children with Caesarean delivery. Specifically, he observed a dose-dependent relationship between risk of SEN with younger gestation age. Higher risk was found at preterm delivery i.e. the adjusted odds ratio for SEN at 37-39 weeks was 1.16 (95% CI: 1.12–1.20), at 33-36 weeks was 1.53 (95% CI: 1.43–1.63), at 28-32 weeks was 2.66 (95% CI: 2.38–2.97), and at 24-27 weeks was 6.92 (95% CI: 5.58–8.58). Nonetheless, the association between Caesarean delivery and behavioral disorders are still in debate. Curran et al. analyzed a large cohort study in UK and found no association between planned C-section and ASD (aOR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.19–1.79) or ADHD (aOR: 0.54, 95% CI: 0.18-1.64). He also did a systematic review and calculated a pooled odds ratio of 1.23 (95% CI: 1.07–1.40) for ASD and OR of 1.07 (95%
Conclusion

Our review found a growing body of evidences that support the association between C-section delivery and child’s neurodevelopmental. The short-term effects were observed in the difference of brain development in the early life. Nevertheless, the long-term effects on child’s emotional and behavioral problems were not yet conclusive. Future research should consider the genetic and environmental factors that could influence the emotional and behavioral development of a child. In addition, better understanding on how C-section affects the gut-brain-axis and whether the effect would last in the long run should be explored.
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